

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,438	11/19/2003	Rajnish Jain	104195-0010	6996
24267 CESARIAND	7590 01/14/2008 MCKENNA, LLP	EXAMINER		
88 BLACK FA	LCON AVENUE	HSU, ALPUS		
BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/717,438	·	JAIN ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Alpus H. Hsu	,	2619		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•	•		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 						
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-31 and 33-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-31 and 33-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/07.	5) 🔲 (Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te		

10/717,438

Art Unit: 2619

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-31, 33-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear as to what function that each of the first and second switching models performs. To be more specific, in each of the first and second switching models, only the coupling and decoupling of the call control signaling and media switching are recited. There is no function recited regarding as to how the call will be processed after one of the switching model is assigned. Furthermore, in lines 3 and 6, the term of "defining" should be changed to -- providing -- to avoid the ambiguity of carrying out the invention. In lines 8-9, the term of "substantially" should be deleted to avoid the issue of indefiniteness.

In claim 21, lines 4 and 6, the term of "defining" should be changed to -- providing -- to avoid the ambiguity of carrying out the invention.

In claim 22, lines 5 and 7, the term of "defining" should be changed to -- providing -- to avoid the ambiguity of carrying out the invention.

In claim 23, line 1, the status of claim 23 should be changed from "New" to "Previously Presented".

In claim 30, it is unclear as to what function that each of the first and second switching models performs. To be more specific, in each of the first and second switching models, only the coupling and decoupling of the call control signaling and media switching are recited. There is no function recited regarding as to how the call will be processed after one of the switching model is assigned. Furthermore, in line 6, the term of "substantially" should be deleted to avoid the issue of indefiniteness.

10/717,438

Art Unit: 2619

In claim 49, it is unclear as to what function that each of the first and second switching models performs. To be more specific, in each of the first and second switching models, only the coupling and decoupling of the call control signaling and media switching are recited. There is no function recited regarding as to how the call will be processed after one of the switching model is assigned. Furthermore, in lines 2 and 5, the term of "defining" should be changed to -- providing -- to avoid the ambiguity of carrying out the invention. In line 8, the term of "substantially" should be deleted to avoid the issue of indefiniteness.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-31, 33-49 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (571)272-3146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/717,438

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2619

Alpus s. you